

Cortis Road Ashburton Estate Wandsworth London SW15 3AZ

Ground Investigation



22 June 2023

J22403 Rev 0



Ground investigation | Geotechnical consultancy | Contaminated land assessment

GFA

Report prepared by

Sunly

Joshua Swindells BEng MSc FGS Geotechnical Engineer

Report checked by

ullow

Juliet Fuller BSc MSc DIC FGS Associate Director

Report checked and approved for issue by



Steve Branch BSc MSc CGeol FGS FRGS Managing Director

Rev No	Status	Revision Details	Date	Approved for Issue
0	Final		22 June 2023	81

This report has been issued by the GEA office indicated below. Any enquiries regarding the report should be directed to the report project engineer at the office indicated or to Steve Branch in our main Herts office.

√	Hertfordshire	tel 01727 824666
	Nottinghamshire	tel 01509 674888
	Manchester	tel 0161 209 3032

Geotechnical & Environmental Associates Limited (GEA) disclaims any responsibility to the Client and others in respect of any matters outside the scope of this work. This report has been prepared with reasonable skill, care and diligence within the terms of the contract with the Client and taking account of the manpower, resources, investigation and testing devoted to it in agreement with the Client. This report is confidential to the Client and GEA accepts no responsibility of whatsoever nature to third parties to whom this report or any part thereof is made known, unless formally agreed beforehand. Any such party relies upon the report at their own risk. This report may provide advice based on an interpretation of legislation, guidance notes and codes of practice. GEA does not however provide legal advice and if specific legal advice is required a lawyer should be consulted. © Geotechnical & Environmental Associates Limited 2023

Contents

Executive Summary

Part 1: Investigation Report

1.0	Introduction	1
2.0	The Site	2
3.0	Exploratory Works	4
4.0	Ground Conditions	.4

Part 2: Design Basis Report

5.0	Introduction7
6.0	Ground Model7
7.0	Advice & Recommendations7
8.0	Outstanding Risks and Issues

Appendix





Executive summary

This executive summary contains an overview of the key findings and conclusions. No reliance should be placed on any part of the executive summary until the whole of the report has been read. Other sections of the report may contain information that puts into context the findings that are summarised in the executive summary.

Brief

This report describes the findings of a site investigation carried out by Geotechnical and Environmental Associates Limited (GEA) on the instructions of by Price and Myers, on behalf of Wandsworth London Borough Council. It is understood that it is proposed to demolish the existing structures on site and subsequently construct a six storey apartment block. The purpose of the investigation has been to determine the ground conditions, to provide a preliminary assessment of the presence of contamination and to provide information to assist with the design of suitable foundations. A desk study of the site has previously been completed by GEA (report ref J22403, dated April 2023) and is referred to in this report where appropriate.

Previous desk study findings

The earliest map studied, dated 1868, shows the site to have been occupied by open fields with dividing hedgerows or fences. Ponds were located approximately 25 m north, 105 m east and 115 m to the southwest of the site. The 1916 map shows a large building arranged around a quadrangle immediately adjacent to the western site boundary. By 1948 this building had been demolished and the west of the site was occupied by woodland, along with the surrounding area to the north and south; the large ponds to the east and southwest were still present. By 1953, several of the existing residential apartment blocks had been constructed on the southern and eastern boundaries and Cortis Road had been constructed on the northern boundary. The site was developed in the existing layout by 1963, with storage units in the west, mature coniferous trees, an area of hardstanding in the centre and a substation in the east. The pond area to the southwest had been redeveloped as a children's playground, at a lower level than the adjacent road between 1991 and 1999; the pond to the east was drained and re-landscaped over a similar period.

Reference to the British Geological Survey map indicates the site to be underlain by the London Clay Formation.

The Envirocheck report has indicated that no landfill sites, waste management or waste transfer sites are located within 1 km of the site. There are no areas of potentially infilled land noted within 500 m. The site is not at risk from migrating landfill gas and no gas protection measures are necessary.

Ground conditions

The ground investigation encountered a moderate thickness of made ground, over superficial soils which were in turn underlain by London Clay to the full depth of the investigation, of 20.00 m. The made ground comprised dark brown slightly clayey gravelly sand with fragments of brick, concrete, ceramic, flint and roots and or dark grey and black gravelly sand with fragments of brick, concrete, reinforcement bar, flint and tarmac and extended to a maximum depth of 1.0 m.

Beneath the made ground, superficial soil comprising brown gravelly sand or orange-brown slightly clayey sandy gravel with rare cobbles was encountered to a depth of up to 1.0 m and is assumed to be the Boyn Hill Gravel Member. The underlying London Clay comprised initially firm becoming stiff light brown mottled grey silty clay with roots and rootlets; desiccation was noted to a depth of up to 4.0 m at a distance of 5 m from a row of four mature coniferous trees.

Groundwater was not encountered in any of the boreholes.

Contamination testing has identified concentrations of total PAH including benzo (a) pyrene elevated above the relevant screening values for a residential end use. No asbestos was detected.

Recommendations

Column loads for the proposed development are unknown but are expected to be relatively high. In view of the anticipated high loads and the significant thickness of desiccation, shallow foundations are not likely to be feasible and piled foundations will be required.

The investigation has identified the presence of contamination in the shallow soils, most likely attributable to tarmac fragments. Additional testing is recommended following site clearance to confirm that no additional remediation measures are required in new landscaped areas. The provision of clean topsoil is however likely to be necessary to provide a suitable growing medium.





Part 1: Investigation Report

This section of the report details the objectives of the investigation, the work that has been carried out to meet these objectives and the results of the investigation. Interpretation of the findings is presented in Part 2.

1.0 Introduction

Geotechnical and Environmental Associates Limited (GEA) has been commissioned by Price and Myers, on behalf of Wandsworth London Borough Council to carry out a ground investigation at Cortis Road, Ashburton Estate, Wandsworth, London.

A desk study of the site has previously been completed by GEA (report ref J22403, dated April 2023) and is referred to in this report where appropriate.

1.1 **Proposed Development**

It is understood that it is proposed to demolish the existing structures on site and subsequently construct a new six storey apartment block, with limited areas of soft landscaping at the site boundaries.

This report is specific to the proposed development and the advice herein should be reviewed if the development proposals are amended.

1.2 Purpose of Work

The principal technical objectives of the work carried out were as follows:

- **c** to determine the ground conditions and their engineering properties;
- to use the above information to provide recommendations with respect to the design of suitable foundations;
- **G** to provide an indication of the degree of soil contamination present; and
- to assess the risk that any such contamination may pose to the proposed development, its users or the wider environment.

1.3 Scope of Work

In order to meet the above objectives, an intrusive ground investigation was carried out which comprised, in summary, the following activities:

- **G** a single cable percussion borehole to 20.0 m;
- **G** three boreholes to 5.0 m using window sampling equipment;
- C testing of selected soil samples for contamination and geotechnical purposes; and
- c provision of a report presenting and interpreting the above data, together with our advice and recommendations with respect to the proposed development.

This report includes a contaminated land assessment which has been undertaken by a suitably qualified and competent professional in accordance with the methodology presented by the Environment Agency in their Land contamination risk assessment (LCRM)¹ published 19 April 2021. This involves identifying, making decisions on, and taking appropriate action to deal with, land contamination in a way that is consistent with government policies and legislation within the United Kingdom. Risk management is divided into three stages; Risk Assessment, Options Appraisal and Remediation, and each stage comprises three tiers. The Risk Assessment stage includes preliminary risk assessment (PRA), generic quantitative risk assessment (GQRA) and detailed quantitative risk assessment (DQRA)and this report includes the PRA and GQRA.

The exploratory methods adopted in this investigation have been selected on the basis of the constraints of the site including but not limited to access and space limitations, together with any budgetary or timing constraints. Where it has not been possible to reasonably use an EC7 compliant investigation technique a practical alternative has been adopted to obtain indicative soil parameters and any interpretation is based upon engineering experience, local precedent where applicable and relevant published information.



¹ https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/land-contamination-risk-management-lcrm



2.0 The Site

2.1 Site Description

The site is located in the London Borough of Wandsworth, approximately 1.1 km southwest of Putney railway station. It is bounded by Cortis Road to the north, an access road to the east and apartment blocks with soft landscaping to the south and west.

The site may be additionally located by National Grid Reference 523000, 174410 and is shown on the map extract below outlined in pink.



The site at the time of the investigation was irregular in shape measuring approximately 35 m west to east and 20 m north to south. It is occupied primarily with hardstanding with an electrical substation in the eastern half. The northern boundary is vegetated with grass and the western boundary mature coniferous trees.

2.2 **Previous Desk Study Findings**

2.2.1 Site History

The earliest map studied, dated 1868, shows the site to be occupied by open fields with dividing hedgerows or fences. Offsite, there were a number of small ponds located approximately 25 m north, 105 m east and 115 m to the southwest. The 1874 map notes a waterworks approximately 650 m to the southeast which is labelled as a reservoir by 1896.

There are no further significant changes to the site until the 1916 map, which shows a large building arranged around a quadrangle, probably a farm building or stable, had been constructed on the western boundary. By 1948 the building had been demolished and the west of the site was occupied with woodland, along with he surrounding area to the north and south, the large ponds to the east and southwest were still present

By 1953, several residential apartment blocks had been constructed on the southern and eastern boundaries and Cortis Road had been constructed on the northern boundary. The 1961 map shows a telephone call box had been constructed in the east of the site as well as garages on the western boundary. Further residential blocks had been constructed on the western and northern boundaries.

The pond area to the southwest was redeveloped as a children's playground, at a lower level than the adjacent road between 1991 and 1999; it is therefore assumed that limited infilling of the pond was carried out. In addition, the pond to the east was drained and relandscaped over a similar period, with limited infilling.

2.2.2 Other Information

There are no recorded landfill sites, waste management or waste transfer sites are located within 1 km of site. There are no areas of potentially infilled land noted within 500 m, this does not appear to include the ponds noted in the historic maps.

No pollution incidents to controlled waters have been recorded within 1 km of the site. There are two local authority pollution prevention and controls noted within 500 m. They are both located 265 m southeast, described as PG3/16 mobile screening and crushing processes. Their status is permitted.

The site is not within an area shown by the Environment Agency to be at risk from flooding from rivers or the sea or from groundwater flooding. The northern boundary has a low risk of surface water flooding. The site does not lie within any known areas of sensitive land use.





Cortis Road, Ashburton Estate, Wandsworth, London, SW15 3AZ Ground Investigation Report for Wandsworth London Borough Council

The Preliminary UXO Risk Assessment undertaken by 1st Line Defence, (report ref PA17312-00, dated 2nd February 2023). indicated that the risk from UXO on site is not considered to be significantly elevated above the 'background level' for this area of the country. It was therefore recommended that no further research be taken at this

The British Geological Survey (BGS) map of the area (as reproduced by Envirocheck) indicates that the site is underlain by the London Clay Formation from the surface, with superficial head deposits being noted within close proximity to the southeast and Boyn Hill Gravel to the southwest.

The London Clay Formation is classified as an Unproductive Stratum, referring to rock layers or drift deposits with low permeability that have negligible significance for water supply or river base flow.

The nearest surface water feature is located 260 m south, from the maps it appears to be a small pond. The site is primarily covered in hardstanding, but with significant area of soft landscaping along the northern and western boundaries. These areas will allow for infiltration of rain water directly into the ground.

2.2.3 Preliminary Risk Assessment

Part IIA of the Environmental Protection Act 1990, which was inserted into that Act by Section 57 of the Environment Act 1995, provides the main regulatory regime for the identification and remediation of contaminated land. The determination of contaminated sites is based on a "suitable for use" approach which involves managing the risks posed by contaminated land by making risk-based decisions. This risk assessment is carried out on the basis of a source-pathway-receptor approach.

Source

The desk study findings indicate that the site does not have a potentially contaminative history as it was primarily developed with an unidentified building in the early 20th Century and was then subsequently used as a parking area, with substation in the east. The substation on site may be a source of polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs).

No sources of landfill gas have been identified in the vicinity.

Receptor

The proposed development of the site for residential purposes will result in the end users representing relatively high sensitivity receptors. As the site is underlain by unproductive stratum groundwater is not a sensitive receptor. Buried services are likely to come into contact with any contaminants present within the soils through which they pass and site workers are potential receptors during construction or maintenance works.

Pathway

The presence of negligibly permeable London Clay will limit the potential for groundwater percolation into the underlying chalk, and thus a pathway is not considered likely to exist to the major aquifer. Within the site, end users will be isolated from direct contact with any contaminants present within the made ground by the presence of the buildings and the extent of the hardstanding, although areas of soft landscaping will provide a direct pathway to end users. Buried services may be exposed to any contaminants present within the soil through direct contact and site workers will come into contact with the soils during construction works. There is thus considered to be a low potential for a contaminant pathway to be present between any potential contaminant source and a target for the particular contaminant.

Preliminary Risk Appraisal

On the basis of the above it is considered that there is a LOW risk of there being a significant contaminant linkage at this site which would result in a requirement for major remediation work.

The site is not at risk from migrating landfill gas and no gas protection measures are necessary.



3.0 Exploratory Work

Access to the site was limited by the presence of parked cars and fencing. Therefore, in order to meet the objectives described in Section 1.2, as far as was possible within the access constraints of the site, a single cable percussion borehole was advanced to 20.0 m and three further boreholes were advanced to 5.0 m, using window sampling equipment.

During boring, undisturbed samples were obtained from the cable percussion borehole for subsequent laboratory examination and testing.

A selection of the samples recovered from the boreholes was submitted to a soil mechanics laboratory for a programme of geotechnical testing and an analytical laboratory for a programme of contamination testing.

All of the above work was carried out under the supervision of a geotechnical engineer from GEA. The borehole records are appended, together with a site plan indicating the exploratory positions.

3.1 Sampling Strategy

The boreholes were positioned on site by a geotechnical engineer from GEA in accessible areas, with due regard to the proposed development and the locations of known buried services.

Two samples of the made ground have been tested for the presence of contamination. The analytical suite of testing was selected to identify a range of typical industrial contaminants for the purposes of general coverage. For this investigation the analytical suite for the soil included a range of metals, speciation of total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH), polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH), total cyanide and monohydric phenols. The samples were also screened for the presence of asbestos. The contamination analyses were carried out at an MCERTs accredited laboratory with the majority of the testing suite accredited to MCERTS standards. A summary of the MCERTs accreditation and test methods are included with the attached results and further details are available upon request. Additionally, due to the presence of the substation on site, PCB testing was also conducted.

4.0 Ground Conditions

The investigation has not encountered the expected ground conditions in that, beneath a nominal thickness of made ground, superficial soils were encountered over London Clay which extended to the maximum explored depth of 20.0 m.

4.1 Made Ground

The made ground comprised dark brown or grey slightly clayey gravelly sand with fragments of brick, concrete, ceramic, flint, roots metal and tarmac and extended to depths of between 0.6 m and 1.0 m.

Apart from fragments of extraneous material noted above, no visual or olfactory evidence of contamination was observed during the fieldwork. Two samples of the made ground have however been analysed for a range of contaminants, including PCB's, and the results are detailed within Section 4.4.

4.2 Boyn Hill Gravel Member

In the west of the site the made ground was underlain by dark brown gravelly sand, the sand being fine and medium, the gravel being medium and coarse subrounded flint which extended to a depth of up to 1.6 m. In the east of the site orange-brown clayey sandy gravel was encountered and extended to a depth of 1.0 m. SPTs indicate this soil to be medium dense.

4.3 London Clay

The London Clay initially comprised firm becoming stiff fissured light brown mottled grey silty clay with rare decaying roots near to the surface. This layer extended to a depth of 5.6 m, below which stiff becoming very stiff fissured grey clay was encountered to the maximum explored depth of 20.0 m. Selenite crystals were noted from a depth of 3.5 m to 4.0 m in the brown clay.

Desiccation was noted to a depth of up to 4.0 m at a distance of 5 m from a row of four mature black pine trees up to 20 m high.





Cortis Road, Ashburton Estate, Wandsworth, London, SW15 3AZ Ground Investigation Report for Wandsworth London Borough Council

The results of plasticity index tests indicate the clay to be of high volume change potential, and the results of quick undrained triaxial tests indicate the clay to be of high, becoming very high strength.

4.4 Groundwater

Groundwater was not encountered during the investigation.

4.5 Soil Contamination

The table below sets out the values measured within the two samples analysed; all concentrations are in mg/kg unless otherwise stated.

Determinant	BH2 0.30 m	BH4 0.50 m
pH	10.1	7.7
Arsenic	7.3	7.1
Cadmium	0.4	0.2
Chromium	13	21
Lead	31	49
Mercury	< 0.05	0.06
Selenium	< 0.5	< 0.5
Copper	66	17
Nickel	32	9.3
Zinc	56	70
Total Cyanide	0.2	0.2
Total Phenols	0.7	< 0.3
Total PAH	320	1.0
Sulphide	40	< 10

2 Updated Technical Background to the CLEA Model (Science Report SC050021/SR3) Jan 2009 and Soil Guideline Value reports for specific contaminants; all DEFRA and Environment Agency.

- 3 The LQM/CIEH S4UIs for Human Health Risk Assessment S4UL3065 November 2014
- 4 Contaminated Land Exposure Assessment (CL|EA) Software Version 1.071 Environment Agency 2015

Determinant	BH2 0.30 m	BH4 0.50 m
Benzo(a)pyrene	24	0.11
Naphthalene	0.56	< 0.03
ТРН	3000	< 10
Total Organic Carbon %	2.9	0.5

Figure in bold indicates concentration in excess of risk-based soil guideline values, as discussed in Part 2 of this report

In addition, these samples were screened for the presence of asbestos and none was detected.

The PCB testing of a single sample did not note any concentrations of PCBs above detection levels.

4.5.1 Generic Quantitative Risk Assessment

The use of a risk-based approach has been adopted to provide an initial screening of the test results to assess the need for subsequent site-specific risk assessments. Contaminants of concern are those that have values in excess of generic human health risk-based guideline values, which are either the CLEA² Soil Guideline Values where available, the Suitable 4 Use Values³ (S4UL) produced by LQM/CIEH calculated using the CLEA UK Version 1.07⁴ software, or the DEFRA Category 4 Screening values⁵, assuming a residential end use without plant uptake. The key generic assumptions for this end use are as follows:

- **G** that groundwater will not be a critical risk receptor;
- C that the critical receptor for human health will be a young female aged 0 to 6 years old;
- **G** that the exposure duration will be six years;
- that the critical exposure pathways will be direct soil and indoor dust ingestion, skin contact with soils and dust, and inhalation of dust and vapours; and
- that the building type equates to a terrace house.
- 5 CL:AIRE (2013) Development of Category 4 Screening Levels for Assessment of Land Affected by Contamination Final Project Report SP1010 and DEFRA (2014) Development of Category 4 Screening Levels for Assessment of Land Affected by Contamination Policy Companion Document SP1010





It is considered that these assumptions are acceptable for this generic assessment of this site. The tables of generic screening values derived by GEA and an explanation of how each value has been derived are included in the Appendix.

Where contaminant concentrations are measured at concentrations below the generic screening value it is considered that they pose an acceptable level of risk and thus further consideration of these contaminant concentrations is not required. However, where concentrations are measured in excess of these generic screening values there is considered to be a potential that they could pose an unacceptable risk and thus further action will be required which could include;

- additional testing to zone the extent of the contaminated material and thus reduce the uncertainty with regard to its potential risk;
- Site specific risk assessment to refine the assessment criteria and allow an assessment to be made as to whether the concentration present would pose an unacceptable risk at this site; or
- **G** soil remediation or risk management to mitigate the risk posed by the contaminant to a degree that it poses an acceptable risk.

When comparing the results from the contamination testing to those in the Soil Guideline Values and Generic Guideline Values for a residential end use without plant uptake, concentrations of total PAH, benzo(a)pyrene and TPH were measured above the relevant screening values. However, no individual hydrocarbon compounds were elevated above the respective screening value.

The significance of these results is considered further in Part 2 of the report.





Part 2: Design Basis Report

This section of the report provides an interpretation of the findings detailed in Part 1, in the form of a ground model, and then provides advice and recommendations with respect to the proposed development.

5.0 Introduction

It is understood that it is proposed to demolish the existing structures onsite and construct a six storey apartment block. Loads of the new buildings are not known but are expected to be moderately high. Limited soft landscaping is to be introduced at the perimeter.

6.0 Ground Model

The previous desk study has revealed that the site has primarily been developed with residential buildings, and on the basis of the fieldwork, the ground conditions can be characterised as follows:

- S beneath a moderate thickness of made ground, superficial soils were encountered over the London Clay which extended to the full depth investigated, of 20.0 m;
- C the made ground comprises dark brown or grey clayey gravelly sand with brick, flint, concrete, ceramic, metal, tarmac and roots, and extends to a maximum depth of 1 m;
- medium dense dark brown gravelly sand extends to a depth of up to 1.6 m, but was absent in the northeast of the site;
- G firm becoming stiff then very stiff, initially light brown mottled grey silty clay becoming dark grey extends to at least 20.0 m;
- desiccation was noted to a depth of up to 4.0 m in close proximity to mature coniferous trees;
- **G** groundwater was not encountered; and
- contamination testing has revealed the presence of elevated concentrations of total PAH, including benzo (a) pyrene in the made ground.

7.0 Advice & Recommendations

With the limited thickness of sands and gravels encountered and the desiccation noted in the vicinity of the trees, it is likely that shallow foundations would have to be placed at an uneconomical depth and piled foundations will be a more suitable option.

7.1 **Piled Foundations**

For the ground conditions at this site, either driven or bored piles could be adopted However, the noise and vibrations associated with driven piles are likely to make their use unacceptable and therefore bored piles are likely to be more suitable.

The following table of ultimate coefficients may be used for the preliminary design of bored piles, based on the SPT and cohesion / depth graph for the boreholes drilled on site, a copy of which is included in the appendix.

Stratum	Depth (m)	kN / m²							
	Ultimate Skin Friction								
Made Ground and Boyn Hill Gravel	GL to 2.00	Ignore							
London Clay	2.00 to 20.00	Increasing linearly from 30 to 90							
	Ultimate End Bearing								
London Clay	15.00 to 20.00	Increasing linearly from 1350 to 1620							

Average ultimate skin friction has been limited to 110 kN/m^2 and an adhesion factor of 0.5 has been adopted, in accordance with guidance from the London District Surveyors Association (LDSA)⁶.

BS EN 1997-1:2004; Eurocode 7: Geotechnical Design Part 1 (Eurocode 7) provides factors to be applied to the ultimate skin friction and ultimate end bearing capacity in calculating pile resistance ($R_{d,GEO}$). For bored piles, in the absence of either working load tests or combined working load tests and preliminary pile tests, a model factor of 1.4 should be



⁶ LDSA (2017) Guidance notes for the design of straight shafted bored piles in London Clay. LDSA

G

combined with a factor of 1.6 to be applied to the skin friction, and combined with a factor of 2.0 to be applied to the end bearing.

On the basis of the above, the table below shows the estimated pile resistance for 450 mm and 600 mm diameter piles at various depths. Average ultimate skin friction has been limited to 110 kN/m² and an adhesion factor of 0.5 has been adopted, in accordance with guidance from the London District Surveyors Association (LDSA)⁷.

Pile diameter mm	Toe Depth (m)	Pile length (m)	R _{d,GEO} (kN)
450	15	13	540
430	20	18	1050
600	15	13	745
600	20	18	1120

In order to determine the required pile lengths, the above outline pile resistances need to be compared with structural loads (actions) that have been factored to determine the design effect, in accordance with Eurocode 7.

The above examples are not intended to constitute any form of recommendation with regard to pile size or type, but merely serve to illustrate the use of the above coefficients. Specialist piling contractors should be consulted with regard to the design of an appropriate piling scheme.

In the design of piled foundations, the effect of potential future shrinkage and swelling of the clay should be taken into account.

7.2 Shallow Excavations

On the basis of the borehole findings, it is considered that it will be generally feasible to form relatively shallow excavations terminating within the London Clay without the requirement for lateral support, although localised instabilities may occur where more granular material or groundwater is encountered.

Significant inflows of groundwater into shallow excavations are not generally anticipated, although seepages may be encountered from perched water tables within the made ground, although such inflows should be suitably controlled by sump pumping.

If deeper excavations are considered or if excavations are to remain open for prolonged periods it is recommended that provision be made for battered side slopes or lateral support. Where personnel are required to enter excavations, a risk assessment should be carried out and temporary lateral support or battering of the excavation sides considered in order to comply with normal safety requirements.

7.3 Ground Floor Slab

In view of the presence of desiccated clay and the close proximity of mature trees, it is recommend that the floor slab is suspended over a void in accordance with NHBC guidelines.

7.4 Effect of Sulphates

Chemical analyses have revealed relatively high concentrations of soluble sulphate and near-neutral pH in accordance with Class DS-3 conditions of Table C2 of BRE Special Digest 1:SD Third Edition (2005). The measured pH values of the samples show that an ACEC class of AC-2s would be appropriate for the site. This assumes a static water condition at the site. The guidelines contained in the digest should be followed in the design of foundation concrete.



⁷ LDSA (2017) Guidance notes for the design of straight shafted bored piles in London Clay. LDSA

7.5 Contamination Risk Assessment

The desk study findings indicate that the site does not have a potentially contaminative history as it has only been developed with the existing buildings since the early 20th Century. However, elevated concentrations of contamination that are considered to pose a risk to sensitive receptors have been recorded in the soil samples tested.

Comparison of the ratio of PAH compounds has indicated that the contamination is most likely to be attributable to tarmac fragments. It is likely that the contamination will be removed as a result of the site strip, along with the hardstanding, and as such no additional precautions should be required to protect end users, buried services or adjacent site users. However, following the site clearance it is recommended that additional tests are carried out in any new soft landscaped areas to confirm the absence of contaminants. Irrespective of the additional testing, clean topsoil may be necessary to provide a suitable growing medium.

As the site is underlain by the London Clay Formation, classified as Unproductive Strata, groundwater is a not a sensitive receptor.

7.5.1 **Protection of Site Workers**

Site workers should be made aware of the contamination identified on site and a programme of working should be identified to protect workers handling any soil. The method of site working should be in accordance with guidelines set out by HSE⁸ and CIRIA⁹ and the requirements of the Local Authority Environmental Health Officer.

A watching brief should be maintained during the site works and if any suspicious soil is encountered, it should be inspected by a suitably qualified engineer and further testing carried out if required.

7.5.2 Services

Consideration may need to be given to the protection of buried plastic potable water supply services laid within the made ground. Details of the proposed protection measures for buried plastic services will in any case need to be approved by the EHO and the relevant service authority prior to the adoption of any scheme. It is possible that barrier pipe will be required, or additional testing will need to be carried out.

7.6 Waste Disposal

Under the Waste Framework Directive, waste is classified as being either Hazardous or Non-Hazardous and landfills receiving waste are classified as accepting hazardous or nonhazardous wastes or the non-hazardous sub-category of inert waste in accordance with the Waste Directive. Waste classification is a staged process and this investigation represents the preliminary sampling exercise of that process. Once the extent and location of the waste that is to be removed has been defined, further sampling and testing may be necessary. The results from this ground investigation should be used to help define the sampling plan for such further testing, which could include WAC leaching tests where the totals analysis indicates the soil to be a hazardous waste or inert waste from a contaminated site. It should however be noted that the Environment Agency guidance WM3¹⁰ states that landfill WAC analysis, specifically leaching test results, must not be used for waste classification purposes.

Any spoil arising from excavations or landscaping works, which is not to be re-used in accordance with the CL:AIRE¹¹ guidance, will need to be disposed of to a licensed tip. Waste going to landfill is subject to landfill tax at either the standard rate of £102.10 per tonne (about £190 per m³) or at the lower rate of £3.25 per tonne (roughly £6.00 per m³). However, the classifications for tax purposes and disposal purposes differ and currently all made ground and topsoil is taxable at the 'standard' rate and only naturally occurring soil and stones, which are accurately described as such in terms of the 2011 Order, would qualify for the 'lower rate' of landfill tax.

Based on the technical guidance provided by the EA it is considered likely that the soils encountered during this ground investigation, as represented by the chemical analyses carried out, would be generally classified as follows.

11 CL:AIRE March 2011. The Definition of Waste: Development Industry Code of Practice Version 2



⁸ HSE (1992) HS(G)66 Protection of workers and the general public during the development of contaminated land HMSO

⁹ CIRIA (1996) *A guide for safe working on contaminated sites.* Report 132, Construction Industry. Research and Information Association

¹⁰ Environment Agency 2015. *Guidance on the classification and assessment of waste.* Technical Guidance WM3 First Edition

Soil Type	Waste Classification (Waste Code)	WAC Testing Required Prior to Landfill Disposal?	Current applicable rate of Landfill Tax
Made ground	Non-hazardous (17 05 04)	Check with receiving landfill	£102.10 / tonne plus gate fee and hazardous waste landfill tax
Natural Soils	Inert non-hazardous (17 05 04)	Should not be required but confirm with receiving landfill	£3.25 / tonne (Reduced rate for uncontaminated naturally occurring rocks and soils)

Under the requirements of the Waste Directive all waste needs to be pre-treated prior to disposal. The pre-treatment process must be physical, thermal, chemical or biological, including sorting. It must change the characteristics of the waste in order to reduce its volume, hazardous nature, facilitate handling or enhance recovery. The waste producer can carry out the treatment but they will need to provide documentation to prove that this has been carried out. Alternatively, the treatment can be carried out by an approved contractor. The Environment Agency has issued a position paper¹² which states that in certain circumstances, segregation at source may be considered as pre-treatment and thus excavated material may not have to be treated prior to landfilling if the soils can be segregated onsite prior to excavation by sufficiently characterising the soils insitu prior to excavation.

The above opinion with regard to the classification of the excavated soils is provided for guidance only and should be confirmed by the receiving landfill once the soils to be discarded have been identified.

The local waste regulation department of the Environment Agency (EA) should be contacted to obtain details of tips that are licensed to accept the soil represented by the test results. The tips will be able to provide costs for disposing of this material but may require further testing.

8.0 Outstanding Risks & Issues

This section of the report aims to highlight areas where further work is required as a result of limitations on the scope of this investigation, or where issues have been identified by this investigation that warrant further consideration. The scope of risks and issues discussed in this section is by no means exhaustive, but covers the main areas where additional work may be required.

8.1 Site-Specific Risks

This investigation has identified the presence of contamination and there may be a requirement for a separate remediation proposals report to be prepared to comply with planning requirements. The remediation will need to be supervised and verified by a geoenvironmental engineer and a completion or validation report will also probably be required to support the planning application.

If during ground works any visual or olfactory evidence of contamination is identified it is recommended that further investigation be carried out and that the risk assessment is reviewed.

These areas of doubt should be drawn to the attention of prospective contractors and further investigation will be required or sufficient contingency should be provided to cover the outstanding risk

8.2 General Risks

The ground is a heterogeneous natural material and variations will inevitably arise between the locations at which it is investigated. This report provides an assessment of the general ground conditions based on the discrete points at which the ground was sampled, but there may be ground conditions (including soil, rock, gas and groundwater) elsewhere on site that have not been revealed by this investigation and therefore could not have been taken into account in this report. The ground conditions should be subject to review as the development proceeds to ensure that any variations from the Ground Model are properly assessed by a suitably qualified person.



¹² Environment Agency 23 Oct 2007 Regulatory Position Statement Treating non-hazardous waste for landfill - Enforcing the new requirement

Cortis Road, Ashburton Estate, Wandsworth, London, SW15 3AZ Ground Investigation Report for Wandsworth London Borough Council

The comments made regarding gas and groundwater are based on observations made during the period the work has been carried out. Conditions may vary as a result of seasonal or other effects.

Where any conclusions and recommendations contained in this report have been based upon information provided by others, it has been assumed that all relevant information has been provided by those parties and that such information is accurate. Any such information has not been independently verified by GEA, unless otherwise stated in the report. GEA accepts no liability for any inaccurate conclusions, assumptions or actions taken resulting from any inaccurate information supplied to GEA from others.





Appendix

a. Field Work

Site Plan Borehole Records

b. Lab Testing

Geotechnical Test Results SPT & Cohesion/Depth Graph Chemical Test Results Generic Risk Based Screening Values







Field Work

Site Plan Borehole Records







	Project								BOREHO	E No
	Lortis Road, Ashburton Estate, Wandsworth						, London		BH1	
	Job No		Date		G	round Le	evel (m OD)	Co-Ordinates ()		-
	J22403 08-03-23			3	E 522,993.0 N 174,413.0					
	Client					E	ngineer		Sheet	
	Wandsv	vorth Lor	ndon Borough Co	oun	cil		Price and	l Myers	1 of	2
	SA	MPLES 8	& TESTS					STRATA		fill
	Depth	Type No	Test Result	Wate	Reduce Level	ed _{Legen}	Depth d (Thick- ness)	DESCRIPTION		Instrum / Back
	0.30 0.50	D B					(0.80)	MADE GROUND (dark grey and black gra with fragments of brick, concrete and tar	velly sand rmac)	
	1.20	D	4,5/5,4,3,2 N60 = 14			· · · · ·	(0.80) (0.80) (1.60)	and medium. Gravel is medium and coar subrounded flint	se,	
	1.75 2.00	D D	2,2/3,3,2,4 N60 = 12					Firm dark brown silty CLAY		
	2.75 3.00	D U100					-+- 			
	4.00		2,3/4,8,5,6 N60 = 24				- + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - +	4.00 becoming stiff		
	5.00	U100						Stiff dark grey fissured silty CLAY		
10 May 2023	6.00 6.50	D	3,4/4,5,6,6				+ - - - - - - - - - -			
.GLB Date:			N60 = 22				- <u>}</u>			
y: GEA LIBRARY	7.50 8.00	D U100					┽╎┽╷┝╷			
U.GPJ LIDrar	9.00	U					╋ <u>┙┙</u>			
	9.50	U	4,5/5,5,6,7 N60 = 24				-+ 			
Boring Progress and Water Observ				ervatio	ns		GENERAL			
Depth Date Time Depth Date Time Depth Date Time Depth D					mm	Water Depth	Hand pit t Groundwa	REMARKS		
	All dimens Sca	sions in me le 1:62.5	etres Method/ Plant Used C	abl	e Perci	ussion		L	ogged By JS	



	Project														BOREHO	LE No
	Cor	tis Road	l, Asł	hbur	ton Esta	ite,	Wand	swort	:h,	London	ו				ЪΠ,	1
	Job No		1	Date			G	round I	Lev	rel (m OD)	D) (co-Ordinates ()			DI.	T
	J22	403			08-03-2	3						E 522,993.0	N 174,413.0			
	Client							E	Eng	gineer				Sh	eet	
	Wandsw	orth Lo	ndor	n Boi	rough Co	oun	cil		P	Price and	d My	ers			2 of	2
[SA	MPLES	& TE	STS		L						STRATA				ent fill
	Depth	Туре		Ţ	est	Vate	Reduc	ed lege	nd	Depth (Thick-		DF	SCRIPTION			Back
	Deptil	No	_	Re	esult	>	Leve			ness)	Chiff					
	-							×		-	Stin	dark grey fissured	SIITY CLAY(CONTI	nuea)		
	10.50	U							×							
ł	-11.00	11100							<u>×</u>							
F	11.00	0100							$\frac{1}{x}$							
	-							× *	1 							
	-							× ×	× -	_						
	12.00	D						× 	 ★							
Ē	12.50	D		4,5/	6,6,7,7			×	<u> </u>							
-	-			Ń60	$\dot{2} = 27$				×	(14.40)						
	-								<u>×</u>							
ł	13.50	D						× - × -	; ×							
ļ									<u>×</u>]							
	14.00	U100							$\overset{\times}{}$	-						
								~ 	 	-						
ł	-							×	×-}							
ļ	15.00	D						×	<u>↓</u> ★							
	15 50				0 10 11				×		1 1 5 1	0 hooming you	, ct:ff			
	15.50			N60	0 = 38			× -	׆		15.5	bubecoming very	y still			
2023									×	-						
May	46 50							× Ť	×-†							
te: 10	16.50							× ÷	<u>×</u>	-						
Da	17.00	U100						×	<u> </u>	-						
.GLB	-								×							
BRARY	-															
EALIE	18.00	D						× - × -	×	-						
9 :Y	- - -							×	<u>× </u>							
Libra	18.50	D		5,6/7 N60	,8,10,12) = 38				$\frac{\times}{\times}$							
II rag	 -							× -	} ×	-						
DAD.0	-								×-{							
TIS R	19.55	U100							×]							
ğ	<u> </u>					<u> </u>			- <u>+</u> 	- 20.00)					
22403	Borin	g Progre	ess a	nd \	Nater O Casi	bse ng	ervatio	ons Water	- -			(F	GENERAL Remarks			
ect: J	Deptil	Date			Depth	Dĭa.	mm	Depth	╢	Hand nit t	to 1					
Proj																
NOI									1	Groundwa	vater	tot encountered				
cuss																
E PER																
CABL																
jr ID:	All dimens	ions in m	etres	Me	thod/									Logge	ed By	
Repc	Scale	e 1:62.5		Plai	nt Used C	abl	e Perc	ussion	۱						JS	



Project	Project							BOREHOL	E No
Cor	Cortis Road, Ashburton Estate, Wandsworth, London								•
Job No	Job No Date Ground Level					evel (m OD)	Co-Ordinates ()		
J22	403	07-03-23	3				E 522,993.0 N 174,402.0		
Client					E	ngineer		Sheet	
Wandsw	orth Lond	on Borough Co	un	cil		Price and	Myers	1 of 1	1
SA	MPLES &	TESTS	5				STRATA		nent fill
Depth	Type No	Test Result	Wate	Reduced Level	Legen	Depth d (Thick- ness)	DESCRIPTION		Instrum / Back
0.30	ES					(0.60)	MADE GROUND (dark grey and black gra with fragments of brick, concrete, reinfo flint and tarmac)	avelly sand prcement bar,	
0.70	D				<u>~</u> ×	- 0.80	Dark brown gravelly SAND. Sand is fine a Gravel is medium and coarse, subround	and medium. ed flint /	
-		2,4/6,7,9,9 N60 = 38			*×		Very stiff light brown mottled grey silty	CLAY with rare	
-		1000 - 58			×× - ×		0.80 - 4.00desiccation		
-					^	<u>7</u>			
-		4,5/7,9,9,11		-	×××				
		N60 = 44			<u>×_</u> ×				
2.50					*× 				
-		4,6/8,9,11,12			<u> </u>				
-		N60 = 49		-	××	-1			
- 3.50	D				×× - ×		3.50 - 5.00selenite crystals		
		3,5/6,6,6,9			^				
-		N60 = 33			× – × ×				
- 4.50	D			-	<u>× ×</u>				
-					<u> </u>	5.00			
CORTIS ROAD.GPJ LIbrary: GEA LIBRARY.GLB Date: 10 May 2023									
Boring	g Progress	s and Water Ok	ose	rvation	S ater		GENERAL		
	Depth Date Time Casing Depth Dia.				epth	Hand nit to	1.2 m		
Proj									
NOT						Groundwa	ter not encountered		
CABLE PERCUSS						Drill rods c	racked, could not conduct SPT at 5.0 m		
ظ All dimensi	ions in metr	res Method/			•	I L		Logged By	
ਤੂਂ Scale	Scale 1:62.5 Plant Used Opendrive Rig JS								



	Project										E No
	Cort	is Road,	Ashburton Estat	æ,	Wands	worth	, London			рца	•
	Job No		Date		Gro	und Le	evel (m OD)	Co-Ordinates ()		DI	
	J224	103	07-03-23	3				E 523,005.0 N 174,408.0)		
	Client					En	ngineer			Sheet	
	Wandswo	orth Lond	don Borough Co	un	cil		Price and	Myers		1 of	1
	SAN	/IPLES &	TESTS	_				STRATA			ient fill
	Depth	Type No	Test Result	Wate	Reduced Level	Legend	Depth d (Thick- ness)	DESCRIPTION			Instrum / Back
	0.20	ES					0.40	MADE GROUND (dark grey and blac fragments of tarmac and concrete)	k san	dy gravel with	
	0.60	D				0.00	2 (0.60)	Medium dense dark orange-brown s sandy GRAVEL with rare cobbles. Sa	slight nd is	ly clayey medium and	
	-		2,3/3,3,3,4			°, °, °, × -×	<u>-</u> 1.00	coarse. Gravel is medium and coarse subangular flint. Cobble is rounded	e, suk flint	brounded and	
	1 50		N60 = 16			* 		Stiff light brown mottled grey silty C fragments	LAY	with rare root	
	1.50					_ ×		C			
	-		2,2/3,3,3,4			* <u>*</u> *	-^				
	-		N60 = 16			×					
	-					× ×					
	-		2,3/3,4,5,7			<u> </u>	(4.00)				
	-		N60 = 23			×_×					
	-					* 					
	3.80	D	34/4667			× ×		4 00 - 5 00 selenite crystals			
	-		N60 = 28			*X	-/				
	_					× 	+				
	-					<u> </u>	5.00				
	-										
	-										
023	-										
Aay 2	-						-				
: 10 N	-						-				
Date											
ILB	-										
ARY.G	-										
LIBR/	_						E				
: GEA	-										
orary	-										
	-										
D.GPJ	-										
ROAI	-										
ORTIS	-						-				
403 - C	Boring	Progres	s and Water Ok	ose	rvation	S		GENERAL			
ct: J22	Depth I	Date ⁻	Time Casin Depth Depth	ig Dia.	mm De	ater epth		REMARKS			
Proje.							Hand pit t	o 1.2 m			
							Groundwa	ater not encountered			
USSIC											
PERC											
ABLE											
t ID: C	All dimonsia	nc in mot	ros Method/						1	ogged By	
Repor	Scale 1:62.5 Plant Used Opendrive Rig									JS	



Project	Project									
Cort	is Road, <i>i</i>	Ashburton Esta	te,	Wands	worth	n, London		ВЦИ		
Job No		Date		Gro	und L	evel (m OD)	Co-Ordinates ()	ВП4	ŀ	
J224	403	07-03-23	3				E 523,008.0 N 174,420.0			
Client					E	ngineer		Sheet		
Wandswo	orth Lond	lon Borough Co	un	cil		Price and	Myers	1 of 1	1	
SAN	VIPLES &	TESTS	_				STRATA		ient fill	
Depth	Type No	Test Result	Wate	Reduced Level	Legen	Depth nd (Thick- ness)	DESCRIPTION		nstrum / Back	
-					***	——————————————————————————————————————	MADE GROUND (dark brown slightly cla	yey gravelly		
E 0 50	EC					(1.00)	and roots)	ceramic, mint		
0.50	ES					1 00				
-		3,2/1,2,1,2			×××× × ×	<u></u>	Firm becoming stiff light brown mottled	l grey silty		
		N60 = 7			*		CLAY with rare root fragments			
- 1.50	D				×					
		2,1/2,2,3,3			× ×					
		N60 = 12			××	<u>,</u> -1 -1 (3 00)				
-					× ×	(3.00) 				
-		2 2/3 3 3 4								
-		N60 = 16			××					
3.50	D				×					
Ē					× ×	4.00				
- CORTIS ROAD.GPJ Library: GEA LIBRARY.GLB Date: 10 May 2023										
Boring	Date 7	s and water OI	USE Ig	vation	s ater	-	GENERAL REMARKS			
oject: J		Depth [Jia.	mm De	epth	Hand pit to	0 1.2 m			
Ъ						Groundwa	ter not encountered			
BLE PERCUSSION						Drill rods c	racked, could not drill to 5.0 m			
		ros Method/								
Scale	Scale 1:62.5 Plant Used Opendrive Rig JS									



Lab Testing

Geotechnical Test Results SPT & Cohesion/Depth Graph Chemical Test Results Generic Risk Based Screening Values



K	Soils)	Su	mma	ary of Natural	Moisture Co	ontent, L	iquid	Limit	and Pl	astic L	imit Re	esults
Job No.			Project	Name	1						Prog	ramme	
33	3151		Cortis F	Road						Samples r	received	13/0	3/2023
Project No			Client							Schedule Project sta	received	15/0 16/0	3/2023
r toject No.			Client							1 10,000 310	anteu .	10/0	5/2025
J2	2403		GEA		•		-			Testing St	arted	27/0	3/2023
Hole No.		Sar	nple	1_	· Soil Des	cription	NMC	Passing 425µm	LL	PL	PI	Rei	marks
	Ref	n n	m Base	туре			%	%	%	%	%		
BH1	-	2.00	-	D	Orangish brown sligh grey silty CLAY	tly mottled bluish	39	100	73	31	42		
BH1	-	2.75	-	D	Orangish brown slightly mottled grey silty CLAY with scattered selenite crystals		47	100	70	29	41		
BH1	-	3.00	-	U	High strength brown s grey silty CLAY with o deposits	slightly mottled occasional selenite	32						
BH1	-	3.75	-	D	Brown and occasiona with scattered selenit	al grey silty CLAY e crystals	34	100	69	31	38		
BH1	-	4.00	-	D	Orangish brown sligh grey silty CLAY with s crystals	tly mottled dark scattered selenite	40						
BH1	-	4.75	-	D	Orangish brown mott CLAY with scattered	led dark grey silty selenite crystals	34						
BH1	-	5.00	-	U	High strength brown a grey and orangish bro sandy silty CLAY	slightly mottled own slightly fine	31						
BH1	-	8.00	-	U	High strength dark gr sandy silty CLAY	ey slightly fine	30						
BH1	-	11.00	-	U	High strength dark gr sandy silty CLAY	ey slightly fine	30						
BH1	-	14.00	-	U	Very high strength da silty CLAY	ark grey fine sandy	24						
BH1	-	16.50	-	D	Dark grey silty CLAY		31	100	76	29	47		
BH1	-	17.00	-	U	High strength dark gr sandy silty CLAY	ey slightly fine	33						
ch	Test N	lethods	: BS137	7: Par	t 2: 1990:						-	Chec	ked and
	Natural Moisture Content : clause 3.2				3.2	Test	Report by	K4 SOILS		ATORY		Арр	roved
- (≯≮) ·	Atterberg Limits: clause 4.3 and 5.0 These results only apply to the items tested				ems tested		Watford	Herts WE	3 Appro 018 9RU	4611		Initials	J.p
									Deta	-			
TESTING	TESTING without authority of the laboratory				Email: James@k4soils.com					29/03/2023			
2519	Approved Signatories: K.Phaure (Tech.Mgr) J.Phau					e (Lab.Mgr)						MSF	-5-R1(b)

K	K Soils			mma	ary of Natural Moisture Co	ontent, l	₋iquid	Limit	and Pl	astic L	imit Re	sults
Job No.			Project	Name						Prog	ramme	
33	8151		Cortis F	Road					Samples I	eceived	13/03	/2023
Proiect No.			Client						Project sta	received arted	15/03	/2023
J2	2403		GEA						Testing St	arted	27/03	/2023
	1					r –	1			r		
Hole No.	Def	San	nple	Turne	Soil Description	NMC	Passing 425µm	LL	PL	PI	Rem	arks
	Rei	n m	m	туре		%	%	%	%	%		
BH1	-	19.55	-	U	High strength dark grey slightly fine sandy silty CLAY	34						
BH2	-	2.50	-	D	Orangish brown slightly mottled bluish grey silty CLAY with scattered selenite crystals	24	100	75	30	45		
BH2	-	3.50	-	D	Brown slightly mottled bluish grey slightly sandy silty CLAY with selenite deposits and traces of rootlets	25	100	73	30	43		
BH2	-	4.50	-	D	Dark grey slightly mottled orangish brown silty CLAY with scattered selenite crystals	29	100	74	30	44		
ВНЗ	-	1.50	-	D	Orangish brown slightly mottled grey silty CLAY	31	100	75	29	46		
BH3	-	3.80	-	D	Orangish brown mottled dark grey silty CLAY with scattered selenite crystals	30						
	Test N	lethode	: BS137	 7: Par	t 2: 1990:	l					Спеск	ed and
	Natural	Moisture	Content	: clause	3.2 Test	Report by	K4 SOILS		ATORY		Appr	oved
- (≯≮) -	Atterbe These	rg Limits: results on	clause 4.3	3 and 5 5 the ite	.u I ms tested	Watford	Herts WI	s Appro 018 9RU	acn		Initials	J.p
UKAS	NOTE:	The repo	rt shall no	t be rep	roduced except in full	Tel:	01923 71 1	288			Date:	29/03/2023
TESTING 2519	without Appro	authority ved Sign	of the lab	oratory K.Phau	re (Tech.Mgr) J.Phaure (Lab.Mgr)	Email: James@k4soils.com						5-R1(b)





















KSOILS		Unc	Summary of Results Tests carried out in accordance with BS1377:Part 7 : 1990 clause 8 or 9 as appropriate to test														
Job No			les	ts ca	arried out in accordan	ect Na	Ith B:	51377	:Par	17:1	990 C	laus	.e 8 c	or 9 a	s ap	pro	priate to test
22151			Cortie	Pood	т то <u>л</u>	CUL ING							Sar	nples r	eceive	ed	13/03/2023
Donie et N				Λυαυ									Sch	edule	receive	əd	15/03/2023
Project IN	0.		Client											oject s	starteu		16/03/2023
J22403			GEA										Ie	sting a	Started	1	24/03/2023
		Sar	mple			Test	Der	nsity	w	Length	Diamete	σ3		At fail	ure	- 14	
Hole No.	Ref	Top m	Base m	Туре	Soil Description	Туре	bulk Mg	dry J/m3	%	mm	mm	kPa	Axiai strain %	σ1 - σ _{kPa}	CU kPa	o d e	Remarks
BH1	-	3.00	-	U	High strength brown slightly mottled grey silty CLAY with occasional selenite deposits	UU	1.96	1.49	32	198	102	60	16	168	84	с	
BH1	-	5.00	-	υ	High strength brown slightly mottled grey and orangish brown slightly fine sandy silty CLAY	UU	1.98	1.51	31	198	102	100	20	206	103	с	
BH1	-	8.00	-	υ	High strength dark grey slightly fine sandy silty CLAY	υυ	1.98	1.52	30	198	102	160	11	198	99	с	
BH1	-	11.00	-	U	High strength dark grey slightly fine sandy silty CLAY	υυ	2.00	1.54	30	198	102	220	14	188	94	с	
BH1 - 14.00		14.00	-	U	Very high strength dark grey fine sandy silty CLAY	UU	2.03	1.63	24	198	102	280	20	308	154	с	
BH1	1 - 17.00 - U ^{Hig} sar		High strength dark grey slightly fine sandy silty CLAY	UU	2.00	1.50	33	198	102	340	11	200	100	с	Sample slightly softened on top		
BH1	-	19.55	-	U	High strength dark grey slightly fine sandy silty CLAY	UU	2.01	1.50	34	198	102	390	11	171	86	с	Sample slightly water softened at top
Legend	UU - UUM suffix	single st - Multist c R - rem	age test age test oulded o	(single on a s r reco	L e and multiple specimens) single specimen mpacted	σ3 σ1 - σ3 cu	Cell p Maxin Undra	oressure mum co ained sh	; rrected near stre	deviato ength, ½	r stress 2 (σ1 - σ	Mode r3)	of failu	re;	B - E P - F C - (Jrittle Plasti Comp	ic pound
			Test Report by K4 SOILS LABORATORY Unit 8 Olds Close Olds Approach Watford Herts WD18 9RU Tel: 01923 711 288 Email: james@k4soils.com Email: james@k4soils.com									Che Initial	s:	J.P 29/03/2023			
2519	TESTING 2519 Appr		These results only apply to the items tested. The report shall not be reproduced except in full without authority of the laboratory oved Signatories: K.Phaure (Tech.Mgr) J.Phaure (Lab.Mgr)										/	MSF-5-R7b			

















Sulphate Content (Gravimetric Method) for 2:1 Soil: Water Extract and pH Value - Summary of Results

Tested in accordance with BS1377 : Part 3 : 2018, Clause 7.6 & Clause 12

Job No.			Project N	lame					Program	nme
33151			Cortis Ro	bad				Samples r	eceived	13/03/2023
Draiget No			Client					Project s	tarted	16/03/2023
J22403).		GEA					Testing S	Started	22/03/2023
		Sa	ample			Dry Mass				
Hole No.	Ref	Top	Base	Туре	Soil description	passing 2mm	SO4 Content	рН	1	Remarks
						70	ing/i			
BH1	-	7.50	-	D	Dark grey silty CLAY	100	900	7.1		
BH1	-	15.00	-	D	Grey silty CLAY	100	1060	7.2		
BH2	-	3.50	-	D	Brown slightly mottled bluish grey slightly sandy silty CLAY with selenite deposits and traces of rootlets	100	2680	7.3		
BH4	-	1.50	-	D	Brown slightly mottled bluish grey slightly gravelly slightly sandy silty CLAY (gravel is fm and sub-rounded)	94	460	7.7		
				Ch A Initials Date:	ecked and pproved J.P 29/03/2023 MSF-5-R29					







Certificate Number 23-05887

Issued: 11-Apr-23

Client Geotechnical & Environmental Associates Unit 1 Church Farm Gotham Road Nottingham NG11 0DE

- Our Reference 23-05887
- Client Reference J22403
 - Order No J22403
 - Contract Title Cortis Road, Ashburton Estate, Wandsworth, London
 - Description 2 Soil samples.
 - Date Received 10-Mar-23
 - Date Started 10-Mar-23
- Date Completed 11-Apr-23

Test Procedures Identified by prefix DETSn (details on request).

Notes Opinions and interpretations are outside the laboratory's scope of ISO 17025 accreditation. This certificate is issued in accordance with the accreditation requirements of the United Kingdom Accreditation Service. The results reported herein relate only to the material supplied to the laboratory. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of the laboratory.

Approved By

lopmood



Kirk Bridgewood General Manager





Summary of Chemical Analysis Matrix Descriptions

Our Ref 23-05887 Client Ref J22403 Contract Title Cortis Road,Ashburton Estate, Wandsworth, London

Sample ID	Depth	Lab No	Completed	Matrix Description
BH2	0.3	2138633	11/04/2023	Brown gravelly, clayey SAND
BH4	0.5	2138634	11/04/2023	Brown gravelly, sandy CLAY



Summary of Chemical Analysis Soil Samples

Our Ref 23-05887 *Client Ref* J22403

Contract Title Cortis Road, Ashburton Estate, Wandsworth, London

			Lab No	2138633	2138634
		.Sa	ample ID	BH2	BH4
			Depth	0.30	0.50
			Other ID		
		Sam	ple Type	SOIL	SOIL
		Sampl	ing Date	07/03/2023	07/03/2023
		Sampl	ing Time	n/s	n/s
Test	Method	LOD	Units		
Preparation					
Stones Removed	DETSC 1003*	0	%	0.0	0.0
Moisture Content 30øC	DETSC 1004*	0.1	%	5.0	9.7
Metals					
Arsenic	DETSC 2301#	0.2	mg/kg	7.3	7.1
Cadmium	DETSC 2301#	0.1	mg/kg	0.4	0.2
Chromium	DETSC 2301#	0.15	mg/kg	13	21
Chromium, Hexavalent	DETSC 2204*	1	mg/kg	< 1.0	< 1.0
Copper	DETSC 2301#	0.2	mg/kg	66	17
Lead	DETSC 2301#	0.3	mg/kg	31	49
Mercury	DETSC 2325#	0.05	mg/kg	< 0.05	0.06
Nickel	DETSC 2301#	1	mg/kg	32	9.3
Selenium	DETSC 2301#	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5
Zinc	DETSC 2301#	1	mg/kg	56	70
Inorganics	21.00 2002				
рН	DFTSC 2008#		рH	10.1	7.7
Cvanide. Total	DETSC 2130#	0.1	mg/kg	0.2	0.2
Total Organic Carbon	DETSC 2002	0.1	%	2.9	0.5
Chloride Aqueous Extract	DETSC 2055	1	mg/l	3.3	5.2
Sulphate Aqueous Extract as SO4	DETSC 2076#	10	mg/l	50	55
Sulphide	DETSC 2024*	10	mg/kg	40	< 10
Sulphate as SO4. Total	DETSC 2321#	0.01	%	0.12	0.03
Petroleum Hydrocarbons		0.0-	, -		
Aliphatic C5-C6	DFTSC 3321*	0.01	mg/kg	< 0.01	
Aliphatic C6-C8	DETSC 3321*	0.01	mg/kg	< 0.01	
Aliphatic C8-C10	DETSC 3321*	0.01	mg/kg	< 0.01	
Aliphatic C10-C12	DETSC 3072#	1 5	mg/kg	< 1.5	
Aliphatic C12-C16	DETSC 3072#	1.3	mg/kg	< 1.2	
Aliphatic C16-C21	DETSC 3072#	1.5	mg/kg	< 1.5	
Aliphatic C21-C35	DETSC 3072#	3.4	mg/kg	19	
Aliphatic C5-C35	DETSC 3072*	10	mg/kg	19	
Aromatic C5-C7	DETSC 3321*	0.01	mg/kg	< 0.01	
Aromatic C7-C8	DETSC 3321*	0.01	mg/kg	< 0.01	
Aromatic C8-C10	DETSC 3321*	0.01	mg/kg	< 0.01	
Aromatic C10-C12	DETSC 3072#	0.01	mg/kg	61	
Aromatic C12-C16	DETSC 3072#	0.5	mg/kg	0.1)7	
Aromatic C16-C21	DETSC 3072#	0.5	mg/kg	110	
Aromatic C21-C35	DETSC 3072#	1.4	mg/kg	1/0	
Aromatic C5-C35	DETSC 2072#	10	ma/ka	280	
TPH Ali/Aro Total C5-C35	DETSC 2072*	10	ma/ka	200	
EPH (C8-C10)	DETSC 3271*	0.1	ma/ka	200 2 0 1	< 0.1
EPH (C10-C12)	DETSC 3321	10	mg/kg	< 10.1	< 10.1



Summary of Chemical Analysis Soil Samples

Our Ref 23-05887 Client Ref J22403

Contract Title Cortis Road, Ashburton Estate, Wandsworth, London

			Lab No	2138633	2138634
		.Sa	ample ID	BH2	BH4
			Depth	0.30	0.50
		(Other ID		
		Sam	ple Type	SOIL	SOIL
		Sampl	ing Date	07/03/2023	07/03/2023
		Sampli	ing Time	n/s	n/s
Test	Method	LOD	Units		
EPH (C12-C16)	DETSC 3311	10	mg/kg	260	< 10
EPH (C16-C21)	DETSC 3311	10	mg/kg	1200	< 10
EPH (C21-C35)	DETSC 3311	10	mg/kg	1300	< 10
EPH (C8-C40)	DETSC 3311*	10	mg/kg	3000	< 10
PAHs					
Naphthalene	DETSC 3303#	0.03	mg/kg	0.56	< 0.03
Acenaphthylene	DETSC 3303#	0.03	mg/kg	1.6	< 0.03
Acenaphthene	DETSC 3303#	0.03	mg/kg	5.9	< 0.03
Fluorene	DETSC 3303	0.03	mg/kg	7.0	< 0.03
Phenanthrene	DETSC 3303#	0.03	mg/kg	61	0.13
Anthracene	DETSC 3303	0.03	mg/kg	16	0.04
Fluoranthene	DETSC 3303#	0.03	mg/kg	59	0.19
Pyrene	DETSC 3303#	0.03	mg/kg	49	0.15
Benzo(a)anthracene	DETSC 3303#	0.03	mg/kg	27	0.12
Chrysene	DETSC 3303	0.03	mg/kg	22	0.07
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	DETSC 3303#	0.03	mg/kg	26	0.11
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	DETSC 3303#	0.03	mg/kg	11	0.05
Benzo(a)pyrene	DETSC 3303#	0.03	mg/kg	24	0.11
Indeno(1,2,3-c,d)pyrene	DETSC 3303#	0.03	mg/kg	6.2	0.04
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	DETSC 3303#	0.03	mg/kg	1.5	< 0.03
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	DETSC 3303#	0.03	mg/kg	7.2	0.04
PAH - USEPA 16, Total	DETSC 3303	0.1	mg/kg	320	1.0
PCBs					
PCB 28 + PCB 31	DETSC 3401#	0.01	mg/kg	< 0.01	I/S
PCB 52	DETSC 3401#	0.01	mg/kg	< 0.01	I/S
PCB 101	DETSC 3401#	0.01	mg/kg	< 0.01	I/S
PCB 118	DETSC 3401#	0.01	mg/kg	< 0.01	I/S
PCB 153	DETSC 3401#	0.01	mg/kg	< 0.01	I/S
PCB 138	DETSC 3401#	0.01	mg/kg	< 0.01	I/S
PCB 180	DETSC 3401#	0.01	mg/kg	< 0.01	I/S
PCB 7 Total	DETSC 3401#	0.01	mg/kg	< 0.01	I/S
Phenols					
Phenol - Monohydric	DETSC 2130#	0.3	mg/kg	0.7	< 0.3

I DETS

Summary of Asbestos Analysis Soil Samples

Our Ref 23-05887

Client Ref J22403

Contract Title Cortis Road, Ashburton Estate, Wandsworth, London

Lab No	Sample ID	Material Type	Result	Comment*	Analyst			
2138633	BH2 0.30	SOIL	NAD	none	Josh Best			
2138634	BH4 0.50	SOIL	NAD	none	Josh Best			
Crocidolite = Blue Ashestos, Amosite = Brown Ashestos, Chrysotile = White Ashestos, Anthonhyllite, Actinolite and Tremolite are other forms of Ashestos,								

Crocidolite = Blue Asbestos, Amosite = Brown Asbestos, Chrysotile = White Asbestos. Anthophyllite, Actinolite and Tremolite are other forms of Asbestos. Samples are analysed by DETSC 1101 using polarised light microscopy in accordance with HSG248 and documented in-house methods. NAD = No Asbestos Detected. Where a sample is NAD, the result is based on analysis of at least 2 sub-samples and should be taken to mean 'no asbestos detected in sample'. Key: * not included in laboratory scope of accreditation.



Information in Support of the Analytical Results

Our Ref 23-05887 Client Ref J22403 Contract Cortis Road,Ashburton Estate, Wandsworth, London

Containers Received & Deviating Samples

		Date	•	Holding time exceeded for	Inappropriate container for
Lab No	Sample ID	Sampled	Containers Received	tests	tests
2138633	BH2 0.30 SOIL	07/03/23	GJ 250ml		
2138634	BH4 0.50 SOIL	07/03/23	GJ 250ml		
Key: G-Glas	s J-Jar				
DETS canno	ot be held responsible for the in	ntegrity of san	nples received whereby the laboratory did not undertake the sampling.	In this instance san	nples received may
be deviatin	g. Deviating Sample criteria are	e based on Bri	tish and International standards and laboratory trials in conjunction with	th the UKAS note 'G	uidance on
Deviating S	amples'. All samples received a	are listed abov	ve. However, those samples that have additional comments in relation t	to hold time, inappr	opriate containers
etc are dev	iating due to the reasons state	d. This means	that the analysis is accredited where applicable, but results may be cor	npromised due to s	ample deviations. If
no sampled	date (soils) or date+time (wat	ers) has been:	supplied then samples are deviating. However, if you are able to suppl	y a sampled date (a	nd time for waters)
this will pre	event samples being reported a	as deviating w	here specific hold times are not exceeded and where the container sup	plied is suitable.	

Soil Analysis Notes

Inorganic soil analysis was carried out on a dried sample, crushed to pass a 425µm sieve, in accordance with BS1377. Organic soil analysis was carried out on an 'as received' sample. Organics results are corrected for moisture and expressed on a dry weight basis. The Loss on Drying, used to express organics analysis on an air dried basis, is carried out at a temperature of 28°C +/-2°C.

Disposal

From the issue date of this test certificate, samples will be held for the following times prior to disposal :-Soils - 1 month, Liquids - 2 weeks, Asbestos (test portion) - 6 months

*Iib***ETS**

Appendix A - Details of Analysis

		,,	Limit of	Sample			
Method	Parameter	Units	Detection	Preparation	Sub-Contracted	UKAS	MCERTS
DETSC 2002	Organic matter	%	0.1	Air Dried	No	Yes	Yes
DETSC 2003	Loss on ignition	%	0.01	Air Dried	No	Yes	Yes
DETSC 2008	рН	pH Units	1	Air Dried	No	Yes	Yes
DETSC 2076	Sulphate Aqueous Extract as SO4	mg/l	10	Air Dried	No	Yes	Yes
DETSC 2084	Total Organic Carbon	%	0.5	Air Dried	No	Yes	Yes
DETSC 2119	Ammoniacal Nitrogen as N	mg/kg	0.5	Air Dried	No	Yes	Yes
DETSC 2130	Cyanide free	mg/kg	0.1	Air Dried	No	Yes	Yes
DETSC 2130	Cyanide total	mg/kg	0.1	Air Dried	No	Yes	Yes
DETSC 2130	Phenol - Monohydric	mg/kg	0.3	Air Dried	No	Yes	Yes
DETSC 2130	Thiocyanate	mg/kg	0.6	Air Dried	No	Yes	Yes
DETSC 2301	Arsenic	mg/kg	0.2	Air Dried	No	Yes	Yes
DETSC 2301	Barium	mg/kg	1.5	Air Dried	No	Yes	Yes
DETSC 2301	Beryllium	mg/kg	0.2	Air Dried	No	Yes	Yes
DETSC 2301	Cadmium Available	mg/kg	0.1	Air Dried	No	Yes	Yes
DETSC 2301	Cadmium	mg/kg	0.1	Air Dried	No	Yes	Yes
DETSC 2301	Cobalt	mg/kg	0.7	Air Dried	No	Yes	Yes
DETSC 2301	Chromium	mg/kg	0.15	Air Dried	No	Yes	Yes
DETSC 2301	Copper	mg/kg	0.2	Air Dried	No	Yes	Yes
DETSC 2301	Manganese	mg/kg	20	Air Dried	No	Yes	Yes
DETSC 2301	Molybdenum	mg/kg	0.4	Air Dried	No	Yes	Yes
DETSC 2301	Nickel	mg/kg	1	Air Dried	No	Yes	Yes
DETSC 2301	Lead	mg/kg	0.3	Air Dried	No	Yes	Yes
DETSC 2301	Selenium	mg/kg	0.5	Air Dried	No	Yes	Yes
DETSC 2301	Zinc	mg/kg	1	Air Dried	No	Yes	Yes
DETSC 2311	Boron (water soluble)	mg/kg	0.2	Air Dried	No	Yes	Yes
DETSC 2321	Total Sulphate as SO4	%	0.01	Air Dried	No	Yes	Yes
DETSC 2325	Mercury	mg/kg	0.05	Air Dried	No	Yes	Yes
DETSC 3049	Sulphur (free)	mg/kg	0.75	As Received	No	Yes	Yes
DETSC 3072	Ali/Aro C10-C35	mg/kg	10	As Received	No	Yes	Yes
DETSC 3072	Aliphatic C10-C12	mg/kg	1.5	As Received	No	Yes	Yes
DETSC 3072	Aliphatic C10-C35	mg/kg	10	As Received	No	Yes	Yes
DETSC 3072	Aliphatic C12-C16	mg/kg	1.2	As Received	No	Yes	Yes
DETSC 3072	Aliphatic C16-C21	mg/kg	1.5	As Received	No	Yes	Yes
DETSC 3072	Aliphatic C21-C35	mg/kg	3.4	As Received	No	Yes	Yes
DETSC 3072	Aromatic C10-C12	mg/kg	0.9	As Received	No	Yes	Yes
DETSC 3072	Aromatic C10-C35	mg/kg	10	As Received	No	Yes	Yes
DETSC 3072	Aromatic C12-C16	mg/kg	0.5	As Received	No	Yes	Yes
DETSC 3072	Aromatic C16-C21	mg/kg	0.6	As Received	No	Yes	Yes
DETSC 3072	Aromatic C21-C35	mg/kg	1.4	As Received	No	Yes	Yes
DETSC 3303	Acenaphthene	mg/kg	0.03	As Received	No	Yes	Yes
DETSC 3303	Acenaphthylene	mg/kg	0.03	As Received	No	Yes	Yes
DETSC 3303	Benzo(a)pyrene	mg/kg	0.03	As Received	No	Yes	Yes
DETSC 3303	Benzo(a)anthracene	mg/kg	0.03	As Received	No	Yes	Yes
DETSC 3303	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	mg/kg	0.03	As Received	No	Yes	Yes
DETSC 3303	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	mg/kg	0.03	As Received	No	Yes	Yes
DETSC 3303	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	mg/kg	0.03	As Received	No	Yes	Yes
DETSC 3303	Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	mg/kg	0.03	As Received	No	Yes	Yes
DETSC 3303	Fluoranthene	mg/kg	0.03	As Received	No	Yes	Yes
		0. 0					

i DETS

Appendix A - Details of Analysis

		-	Limit of	Sample			
Method	Parameter	Units	Detection	Preparation	Sub-Contracted	UKAS	MCERTS
DETSC 3303	Indeno(1,2,3-c,d)pyrene	mg/kg	0.03	As Received	No	Yes	Yes
DETSC 3303	Naphthalene	mg/kg	0.03	As Received	No	Yes	Yes
DETSC 3303	Phenanthrene	mg/kg	0.03	As Received	No	Yes	Yes
DETSC 3303	Pyrene	mg/kg	0.03	As Received	No	Yes	Yes
DETSC 3311	C10-C24 Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	mg/kg	10	As Received	No	Yes	Yes
DETSC 3311	C24-C40 Lube Oil Range Organics (LORO)	mg/kg	10	As Received	No	Yes	Yes
DETSC 3311	EPH (C10-C40)	mg/kg	10	As Received	No	Yes	Yes
DETSC 3321	Benzene	mg/kg	0.01	As Received	No	Yes	Yes
DETSC 3321	Ethylbenzene	mg/kg	0.01	As Received	No	Yes	Yes
DETSC 3321	Toluene	mg/kg	0.01	As Received	No	Yes	Yes
DETSC 3321	Xylene	mg/kg	0.01	As Received	No	Yes	Yes
DETSC 3321	m+p Xylene	mg/kg	0.01	As Received	No	Yes	Yes
DETSC 3321	o Xylene	mg/kg	0.01	As Received	No	Yes	Yes
DETSC 3401	PCB 28 + PCB 31	mg/kg	0.01	As Received	No	Yes	Yes
DETSC 3401	PCB 52	mg/kg	0.01	As Received	No	Yes	Yes
DETSC 3401	PCB 101	mg/kg	0.01	As Received	No	Yes	Yes
DETSC 3401	PCB 118	mg/kg	0.01	As Received	No	Yes	Yes
DETSC 3401	PCB 153	mg/kg	0.01	As Received	No	Yes	Yes
DETSC 3401	PCB 138	mg/kg	0.01	As Received	No	Yes	Yes
DETSC 3401	PCB 180	mg/kg	0.01	As Received	No	Yes	Yes
DETSC 3401	PCB Total	mg/kg	0.01	As Received	No	Yes	Yes
DETSC 3521	Ali/Aro C10-C35	mg/kg	10	As Received	No	Yes	Yes
DETSC 3521	Aliphatic C10-C12	mg/kg	1.5	As Received	No	Yes	Yes
DETSC 3521	Aliphatic C10-C35	mg/kg	10	As Received	No	Yes	Yes
DETSC 3521	Aliphatic C12-C16	mg/kg	1.2	As Received	No	Yes	Yes
DETSC 3521	Aliphatic C16-C21	mg/kg	1.5	As Received	No	Yes	Yes
DETSC 3521	Aliphatic C21-C35	mg/kg	3.4	As Received	No	Yes	Yes
DETSC 3521	Aromatic C10-C12	mg/kg	0.9	As Received	No	Yes	Yes
DETSC 3521	Aromatic C10-C35	mg/kg	10	As Received	No	Yes	Yes
DETSC 3521	Aromatic C12-C16	mg/kg	0.5	As Received	No	Yes	Yes
DETSC 3521	Aromatic C16-C21	mg/kg	0.6	As Received	No	Yes	Yes
DETSC 3521	Aromatic C21-C35	mg/kg	1.4	As Received	No	Yes	Yes

Method details are shown only for those determinands listed in Annex A of the MCERTS standard. Anything not included on this list falls outside the scope of MCERTS. No Recovery Factors are used in the determination of results. Results reported assume 100% recovery. Full method statements are available on request.

End of Report



www.gea-ltd.co.uk

Generic Risk-Based Soil Screening Values

Job Number

J22403

Sheet

Site

Client

Engineer

Price and Myers Proposed End Use Commercial

Cortis Road, Ashburton Estate, Wandsworth, London

Wandsworth London Borough Council

Soil Organic Matter content % 1.0

Contaminant	Screening Value mg/kg	Data Source	Contaminant	Screening Value mg/kg	Data Source		
Metals			Hydr	Hydrocarbons			
Arsenic	640	C4SL	Banded TPH (8-10)	5385	Calc1		
Cadmium	410	C4SL	Banded TPH (10-12)	24615	Calc1		
Chromium (III)	8600	S4UL	Banded TPH (12-16)	55385	Calc1		
Chromium (VI)	49	C4SL	Banded TPH (16-21)	43077	Calc1		
Copper	68,000	S4UL	Banded TPH (21-35)	43077	Calc1		
Lead	2330	C4SL	Benzene	27	C4SL		
Elemental Mercury	26	S4UL	Toluene	870	SGV		
Inorganic Mercury	1100	S4UL	Ethyl Benzene	48000	SGV		
Nickel	980	S4UL	Xylene	475	SGV		
Selenium	13000	SGV	Aliphatic C5-C6	3200	S4UL		
Zinc	730,000	S4UL	Aliphatic C6-C8	7800	S4UL		
	Anions		Aliphatic C8-C10	2000	S4UL		
Soluble Sulphate	500 mg/l	Structures	Aliphatic C10-C12	9700	S4UL		
Sulphide	50	Structures	Aliphatic C12-C16	59000	S4UL		
Chloride	400	Structures	Aliphatic C16-C35	1,600,000	S4UL		
	Others Aromatic C6-C7		See Benzene	S4UL			
Organic Carbon (%)	10	Methanogenic potential	Aromatic C7-C8	See Toluene	S4UL		
Total Cyanide	12000	WRAS	Aromatic C8-C10	3500	S4UL		
Total Mono Phenols	3200	SGV	Aromatic C10-C12	16000	S4UL		
	PAH		Aromatic C12-C16	36000	S4UL		
Naphthalene	190.00	S4UL	Aromatic C16-C21	28000	S4UL		
Acenaphthylene	83,000	S4UL	Aromatic C21-C35	28000	S4UL		
Acenaphthene	84,000	S4UL	PRO (C ₅ –C ₁₀)	17397	Calc2		
Fluorene	63,000	S4UL	DRO (C ₁₂ –C ₂₈)	1,723,000	Calc2		
Phenanthrene	22,000	S4UL	Lube Oil (C ₂₈ –C ₄₄)	1,628,000	Calc2		
Anthracene	520,000	S4UL	ТРН	750	Trigger to consider		
Fluoranthene	23,000	S4UL			speciated testing		
Pyrene	54,000	S4UL	Chlorina	Chlorinated Solvents			
Benzo(a)anthracene	170.0	S4UL	1,1,1 trichloroethane (TCA)	660	S4UL		
Chrysene	350	S4UL	tetrachloroethane (PCA)	110	S4UL		
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	44.0	S4UL	tetrachloroethene (PCE)	24	C4SL		
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	1,200.0	S4UL	trichloroethene (TCE)	0.73	C4SL		
Benzo(a)pyrene	42.00	C4SL	1,2-dichloroethane (DCA)	12	C4SL		
Indeno(1 2 3 cd)pyrene	500.0	S4UL	vinyl chloride (Chloroethene)	1.1	C4SL		
Dibenz(a h)anthracene	3.50	S4UL	tetrachloromethane (Carbon tetra	2.9	S4UL		
Benzo (g h i)perylene	3,900	S4UL	trichloromethane (Chloroform)	99	S4UL		
Total PAH Screen	600.0	B(a)P / 0.15					

Notes

Concentrations measured below these screening values may be considered to represent 'uncontaminated conditions' which pose a 'LOW' risk to human

health. Concentrations measured in excess of these values indicate a potential risk which require further, site specific risk assessment.

C4SL - Defra Category 4 Screening value based on Low Level of Toxicological Risk

SGV - Soil Guideline Value, derived from the CLEA model and published by Environment Agency 2009 - where not superseded by C4SL

S4UL - LQM/CIEH Suitable for use Level (2015) based on 'minimal' level of risk

Calc1 - sum of thresholds for Ali & Aro fractions - assuming a 35% Aro:65% Ali ratio as is commonly encountered in the soil

Calc2 - sum of nearest available carbon range specified including BTEX for PRO fraction

Total PAH based on B(a)P / 0.15 - GEA experience indicates that Benzo(a) pyrene rarely exceeds 15% of the total PAH concentration

S	GEA Geotechnical & Environmental Associates www.gea-ltd.co.uk	Risk-Based Soil eening Values					
Site	Cortis Road, Ashburton Estate, Wandsworth, London	Job Number J22403					
Client	Wandsworth London Borough Council	Sheet					
Engineer	Price and Myers	2/2					
Proposed I	End Use Commercial						
The key generic assumptions for this end use are as follows;							
	that groundwater will not be a critical risk receptor;						
	that the critical receptor for human health will be a working female aged 16 to 65 years old;						
	that the exposure duration will be 49 years;						
	that the building type equates to a three-storey office.						
	that the critical exposure pathways will be direct soil and indoor dust ingestion, skin contact with soils ar dust and vapours;	nd dust, and inhalation of					
Where contaminant concentrations are measured at concentrations below the generic screening value it is considered that they pose an acceptable level of risk and thus further consideration of these contaminant concentrations is not required. However, where concentrations are measured in excess of the generic screening value there is considered to be a potential that they could pose an unacceptable risk and thus further action will be required which could include:							
•	additional testing to zone the extent of the contaminated material and thus reduce the uncertainty with r	egard to its potential risk;					
•	site specific risk assessment to refine the assessment criteria and allow an assessment to be made as to whether the concentration present would pose an unacceptable risk at this site; or						
•	soil remediation or risk management to mitigate the risk posed by the contaminant to a degree that it po	ses an acceptable risk.					



Herts:

Widbury Barn Widbury Hill Ware Hertfordshire SG12 7QE tel 01727 824666 mail@gea-ltd.co.uk

Notts:

Church Farm Gotham Road Kingston on Soar Notts NG11 0DE tel 01509 674888 midlands@gea-ltd.co.uk

Manchester:

Peter House Oxford Street Manchester M1 5AN tel 0161 209 3032 mail @ gea-ltd.co.uk

www.gea-ltd.co.uk